IN THE DISTRICT COURT WITHIN AND FOR TULSA COUNTY STATE OF OKLAHOMA

D. BARTLETT,

Plaintiff,

FEB 0 6 2002 Judge

FD 2002 ase No.

599

VS.

S. BARTLETT,

Defendant.

SALLY HOWE SMITH COURT CLERK STATE OF ORGA. TURSA COUNTY

PETITION FOR DIVORCE

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Dewey F. Bartlett, Jr., and for his cause of action against the Defendant, Susan F. Bartlett, alleges and states as follows:

I

Plaintiff is now and has been for more than six months next preceding the filing of the Petition herein an actual resident in good faith of the State of Oklahoma and a resident of Tulsa County for 30 days at the time the Petition was filed herein.

II

That the parties hereto were married on or about the 13th day of March, 1982, and have been since that time and are at the present time husband and wife.

III

That of the marriage aforesaid, one child has been born, namely, Dewey F. Bartlett, III, born July 12, 1987. The child has resided with the Plaintiff and the Defendant in Tulsa County, Oklahoma, during the past five years. That no one other than the parties claims any custodial interest in said child nor is any

litigation pending in any jurisdiction concerning the child. That this Court has jurisdiction over said child pursuant to the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act. The Indian Child Welfare Acts do not apply.

IV

As grounds for divorce, the Plaintiff alleges that a state of complete and irreconcilable incompatibility has arisen between the parties hereto which has completely destroyed the legitimate aims of the marriage of the parties and rendered its continuation impossible by reason of which the parties are entitled a Decree of Divorce each from the other.

V

That the Plaintiff is a fit and proper person to have the custody of the minor child, however, it is in the best interest of the minor child that each party have equal custodial rights.

VI

That during the marriage aforesaid, the parties have acquired certain property and certain indebtedness, all of which should be equitably divided and distributed between the parties hereto.

VII

That each party should be awarded their separate property.

VIII

That the Court should enter a child support order according to the Oklahoma Child Support Guidelines.

IX

That the Plaintiff should pay temporary support alimony.

hearing this cause the Court grant and award the Plaintiff Decree of Divorce from the Defendant; an order providing for custody of the minor child; an equitable division of property; an award of separate property to each; child support according to the Oklahoma Child Support Guidelines; support alimony; and for such other relief which the Court may deem equitable and proper.

Hugh V. Rineer, OBA #7593 LEONARD & RINEER, P.C. 1921 South Boston Ave. Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119-5221 (918) 583-8700